United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,969	11/14/2003	Kenichi Kawase	09792909-5716	3182
	7590 10/30/2007 EIN NATH & ROSENTH	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 061080			WEINER, LAURA S	
	WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		10/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/713,969	KAWASE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Laura S. Weiner	1795				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS nations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUN 6(a). In no event, however, may ill apply and will expire SIX (6) Micause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Oc	ctober 2007.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 and 11-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>2-9</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
ا ا	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers		•				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	see the attached detailed office detail for a list of	or the certified copies no	in reserved.				
Attachmen	t(s)	•					
1) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7-30-07 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Applicant's election of Group II, claims 2-9 in the reply filed on 10-18-07 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 3. Claims 1, 11-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 10-18-07.

Art Unit: 1795

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 2-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yasukawa et al. (US 2006/0172201).

Yasukawa et al. teaches a battery comprising a nonaqueous electrolyte comprising at least one phosphate and a vinylene carbonate compound and/or a vinylethylene carbonate compound and at least one compound selected from a cyclic amide, a cyclic carbamate compound or a heterocyclic compound. Yasukawa et al. teaches on page 5, [0046-0047], that the vinylene compound and/or the vinylethylene carbonate compound is preferably in the range of 0.1-15 wt%. Yasukawa et al. teaches on page 9, [0085, 0087], that the anode materials may include one or more metals such as Si, Sn, etc. and that the substrate of the current collector is made of a metal such as copper foil, nickel or stainless steel. Yasukawa et al. teaches on page 10, [0091], that the positive electrode comprises LiMnO2, LiMy2, etc.

In the event any differences can be shown for the product of the product by process claims 2-9, as opposed to the product taught by Yasukawa et al., such

Art Unit: 1795

differences would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as a routine modification of the product in the absence of a showing of unexpected results. *In re Thrope 227 USPQ 964; (Fed. Cir. 1985).*

With respect to the product by process claims 2-9, the determination of patentability is based upon the product itself not upon the method of its production. *In re Thrope 227 USPQ 964; In re Brown 173 USPQ 685; In re Bridgeford 149 USPQ 55; In re Wertheim 191 USPQ 90.* Any difference imparted by the product by process limitations would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because where the Examiner has found a substantially similar product as in the applied prior art, the burden of proof is shifted to the Applicants to establish that their product is patentably distinct. *In re Brown 173 USPQ 685 and In re Fessmann 180 USPQ 324.*

6. Claims 2-4, 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Mie et al. (US 2004/0106047).

Mie et al. teaches in the claims, a nonaqueous electrolyte secondary battery comprising a positive electrode, a negative electrode and a nonaqueous electrolyte. Mie et al. teaches on page 2, [0031-0032], that the negative electrode can use metal materials such as Si, an Si-Ni alloy or an Sn-Ni alloy singly or in combination with the carbonaceous material. Mie et al. teaches on page 4, [0055], a nonaqueous electrolyte comprising 2 parts by weight of VEC to 100 parts of GBL. Mie et al. teaches on page 6, [0077], that the positive electrode comprises LiCoO2. Mie et al. teaches on page 1,

Application/Control Number: 10/713,969

Art Unit: 1795

[0003] and page 3, [0044] that the current collector is made of copper.

In the event any differences can be shown for the product of the product by process claims 2-4, 7-9, as opposed to the product taught by Mie et al., such differences would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as a routine modification of the product in the absence of a showing of unexpected results. *In re Thrope 227 USPQ 964; (Fed. Cir. 1985).*

With respect to the product by process claims 2-4, 7-9, the determination of patentability is based upon the product itself not upon the method of its production. *In re Thrope 227 USPQ 964; In re Brown 173 USPQ 685; In re Bridgeford 149 USPQ 55; In re Wertheim 191 USPQ 90.* Any difference imparted by the product by process limitations would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because where the Examiner has found a substantially similar product as in the applied prior art, the burden of proof is shifted to the Applicants to establish that their product is patentably distinct. *In re Brown 173 USPQ 685 and In re Fessmann 180 USPQ 324.*

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura S. Weiner whose telephone number is 571-272-1294. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571/272-1000.

⊭ Laura S Weiñer S Primary Examiner Art Unit 1795

October 23, 2007